PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF SRI LANKA

DECISION ON ELECTRICITY TARIFFS - 2022

Effective from 10th August 2022

Background

The Ceylon Electricity Board applied for an electricity tariff revision through their submission dated 19th March 2022, and the Bulk Supply Tariff submission for the period Jan-Jun 2022 (revised) was submitted on 22nd April 2022. Their initial tariff review request has been revised twice considering the fuel price revision by the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation (CPC) in March 2022.

The revenue requirement as filed by CEB is LKR 505 billion (excluding LECO costs) requiring 82.4% increase in revenue to recover the forecast cost for 2022. When the proposed Tariff revision is applied, the overall revenue increase is forecasted at 512 billion (including LECO sales) meaning a 79% increase.

The tariff revision was submitted in terms of Section 30(2) of Sri Lanka Electricity Act; No. 20 of 2009 and the Tariff Methodology approved by the Commission. Commission opened the proposed tariff for written stakeholder comments during the period 28th June 2022 to 18th July 2022, followed by the Oral Consultation session held on 28th July 2022. Altogether Commission has received 1,324 written and 46 oral submissions from individual consumers, consumers associations, peoples' representatives, industry associations, energy experts, etc.

The following sections give a summary of the main issues raised by the stakeholders, Commissions response to the stakeholder issues, Commissions decision on revised electricity tariffs, justification for category wise revisions and the conditions put forward to ensure the costs of the electricity licensees are transparent, reasonable and efficient in future.

Summary of the Comments Received at the Stakeholder Consultation and the Response of the Commission

S/N	Main Issues Raised at the Stakeholder Consultation on Electricity Tariff Revision 2022	How the stakeholder comments are accommodated in the approved tariff				
	Affordability in terms of Section 30(4) of Sri Lanka Electricity Act, No. 20 of 2009					
1	The proposed tariff increase is too high and unaffordable, increase shall be limited to 50%	Affordability concerns are accommodated as much as possible through cross-subsidies as the Treasury is not providing additional subsidies.				
a)	Industry tariff needs to be competitive with other countries in the region, Sri Lanka industry tariffs are too high and industries might relocate to other countries	Prior to this tariff revision the average revenue from Industry category was 16.70 LKR/kWh, which was 12.9 US Cents / kWh in 2013 and presently 4.6 US Cents/kWh, and the proposed increase would make it only 31.11 LKR/kWh (8.6 US Cents/kWh). Thus, this claim is not accurate.				
b)	0-60 kWh Domestic category need a subsidy	This is accommodated in the Tariff revision				
c)	0-30kWh Domestic category tariff should be zero to incentivize conservation	This is not implemented as free electricity discourages energy conservation, but the lower tariff would be attractive enough				

d)	Fixed charges are too high	The Cost of supplying a kW of capacity is about	
,		2,800 LKR/Month, in addition the meter retails	
		costs (meter reading, billing and collection costs)	
		amount to 200 LKR/ month for a consumer and	
		thus the fixed charges are already below cost for	
		all retail consumers.	
e)	Equity principles must be honored- low-cost	The subsidized rates for consumers with lower	
,	indigenous resources are owned by everyone	monthly consumption accommodate this concern	
	and shall have access to it equally	as well	
2	Industry category tariffs are too high	The industry category tariff increase is limited to	
	, , ,	the average tariff hike; thus, they are not unduly	
		burdened.	
a)	Peak rate increase shall be limited to 50-60%	Marginal cost of electricity is in the range of 90	
•		LKR/kWh due to use oil fired thermal plants and	
		thus the peak tariff is increased to discourage	
		consumption during that period.	
b)	Off-peak rate increased by 110% is	Off peak shall cover at least coal/ hydro power	
-	discouraging night time consumption, and	generations costs and the associated	
	makes it unattractive (as there are additional	Transmission and Distribution costs. Even with	
	costs when operating in the off-peak times)	the tariff increase the rates do not recover the	
	,	projected coal plant generation costs- that are as	
		high as 70 LKR/ kWh at the end of the year.	
3	The economic conditions are very difficult and	Same is true for the Utilities and it may not be	
	thus it is not the best time to do a tariff	prudent to wait one year since require revision is	
	revision; propose to do a two-step increase	high and further deteriorate the financial position	
	(now and in 1 year)	of the Utilities.	
	Reasonableness of Costs in terms of Section 30(2)(b) of Sri Lanka Electricity Act, No. 20 of 2009	
4	CEB/PUCSL has not proven the costs that are	It is correct that the information requirements	
	to be recovered are reasonable/ fair. It is	are not fully fulfilled by CEB, thus these	
	unfair to revise tariffs to cover costs that arise	transparency requirements are imposed as	
	as a result of inaction by CEB	conditions for future tariff revision with strict	
	•	timelines. Also, the extraordinary nature of the	
		very high-cost increases needs to be	
		accommodated.	
a)	Delay in construction of low-cost power plants	Agreed, and thus incorporated as policy advice	
,	like LNG and Renewable energy	to the Government	
b)	Unreasonable salary costs, high number of	PUCSL has conducted cost benchmarking studies	
~,	employees, etc.	and they are within the regional norms. However,	
		there may be scope for improvement which is	
		incentivized via the revenue cap regime, in case	
		the CEB management is interested in maximizing	
		profit.	
c)	Tariff increase is only one solution for the cost	Agreed, However the extraordinary nature of	
٠,	increase, CEB shall explore other options like	cost increases (fuel price increase, etc) is beyond	
	cost cutting as a solution	the short-term cost cutting possibilities.	
	cost catting as a solution	the short-term cost cutting possibilities.	

Seal is corrupt and its' processes and accounts shall be thoroughly audited a) Power procurement process Tender process is closely monitored by PUCSL, and such inefficient costs are not recovered though tariff. b) Dispatch process Annual independent Dispatch Audit is being conducted by PUCSL, as CEB is slow to respond. PUCSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues PUCSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Roof Tops Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Roof Tops Panel/ battery imports This is being done by the Ministry of Power and Energy Would be incorporated in a policy advice Addressed in the Decision as a special rate Addressed in the Decision as a special rate Mould be incorporated in a policy advice Establish 24/7 electricity supply before Increasing tariffs CEB PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair Erized charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs recovered are incorrect Pixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs Pixed charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed Determined the exercise, more input from economists needed Determined the economic policies and impacts are ignored glarer. Main reason is affordability concerns overweigh this concern. Paged, studies are underway to find these figures Main reason is affordability.	_	ara:	
a) Power procurement process Tender process is closely monitored by PUCSL, and such inefficient costs are not recovered though tariff. b) Dispatch process Annual independent Dispatch Audit is being conducted by PUCSL, as CEB is slow to respond. PUCSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues PUCSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues Provide tax and other incentives for Tops This is being done by the Ministry of Power and Energy Would be incorporated in a policy advice Panel/ battery imports Nolar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect c) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs sond and procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs store and thus the costs recovered regioned Panel/ Pucsulas and impacts are ignored CEB of Pucsulas and impacts are ignored Other economits needed CEB shas not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges are roor fuely followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Pageed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Other economic policies and impacts are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making	5	·	
and such inefficient costs are not recovered though tariff. b) Dispatch process			
though tariff. Annual independent Dispatch Audit is being conducted by PUCSL, as CEB is slow to respond. CEB is discouraging Renewable Energy based electricity generation and action required to promote RE (especially Solar PV) a) Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops This is being done by the Ministry of Power and Energy b) Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports 7 Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge 8 CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient increasing tariffs CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. a) CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect for Solar Pucs Large and this ord consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored C) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored c) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Malin reason is affordability C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Malin reason is affordability	a)	Power procurement process	Tender process is closely monitored by PUCSL,
b) Dispatch process Annual independent Dispatch Audit is being conducted by PUCSL, as CEB is slow to respond. PUCSL egage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues Puround the Elespecially Solar PV) Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge Etablish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs CEB y BUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. Assistance of USAID. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed Difference of the process of the procedures and ignored CEB gasis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Annual independent Dispatch Audit is CEB is low to CEB is slow to respond. PUCSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues PUCSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues PucSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues PucSL engage with CEB on case-by-case basis to resolve issues Addressed in the Decision as a special rate Addressed in the Decision as a special rate Addressed in the Decision as a special rate Would be incorporated in a policy advice Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for y			and such inefficient costs are not recovered
CEB is discouraging Renewable Energy based electricity generation and action required to promote RE (especially Solar PV) a) Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops Brixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect for service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect for consumers and discourage Solar PV Di Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs CEB regy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not must fill by the decision-making bodies Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not mustified by the decision-making bodies C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Mould be incorporated in a policy advice Would be incorporated in a policy advice Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile da			though tariff.
CEB is discouraging Renewable Energy based electricity generation and action required to promote RE (especially Solar PV) a) Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops Brixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect for service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect for consumers and discourage Solar PV Di Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs CEB regy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not must fill by the decision-making bodies Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not mustified by the decision-making bodies C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Mould be incorporated in a policy advice Would be incorporated in a policy advice Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile da	b)	Dispatch process	Annual independent Dispatch Audit is being
CEB is discouraging Renewable Energy based electricity generation and action required to promote RE (especially Solar PV) a) Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops	'	april programme	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
electricity generation and action required to promote RE (especially Solar PV) a) Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports 7 Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge 8 CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient increasing tariffs 9 Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs 10 CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair 2 CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs 2 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 10 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 2 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economics needed 2 CHE economic policies and impacts are ignored 3 CHE fects of price elasticity are ignored 6 CHE economic policies and impacts are ignored CHE established procedures, such suddes are not quantified by the decision-making bodies CHE established procedures and impacts are ignored CHE established procedures and impacts are ignored CHE established procedures and impacts are not quantified by the decision-making bodies CHE established procedures and impacts are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures CHE established procedures and impacts are not quantified by the decision-making bodies CHE established procedures and impacts are not quantified by the decision-making bodies	6	CFR is discouraging Renewable Energy based	
a) Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs CEB y PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well-established procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well-established procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well-established procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well-established procedures are input from the procedure to the force the forc	"		
a) Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports 7 Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge 8 CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient 9 Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs 10 CEB / PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair 10 CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect 10 Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs 11 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well- established procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed 2 Dother economic policies and impacts are ignored CD Dota Vould be incorporated in a policy advice Would be incorporated in a policy advice Would be incorporated in a policy advice Would be incorporated in a policy advice Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies		, -	resolve issues
b) Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports 7 Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge 8 CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient 9 Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs 10 CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair 20 CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs 20 CEB regy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV 21 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well- established procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 22 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed 23 Effects of price elasticity are ignored 25 Cother economic policies and impacts are ignored 26 Cother economic policies and inpacts are covered though above cost energy charges Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Addressed in the Decision as a special rate	-1		This is being done by the Minister of Device and
b) Provide tax and other incentives for Solar Panel/ battery imports 7 Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge 8 CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient 9 Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs 10 CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair 10 CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect thus the costs recovered are incorrect thus the costs recovered are incorrect the prices and consumers and discourage Solar PV 11 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed 2 Detail of the prices and impacts are ignored 2 Detail of the price in a policy advice and Addressed in the Decision as a special rate	a)	Revise purchase price for Solar Roof Tops	, , ,
Panel/ battery imports Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect Duffortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed Effects of price elasticity are ignored CHOPACH Addressed in the Decision as a special rate Would be incorporated in a policy advice Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well-established procedures, methodologies, etc. To evide the fuel (oil)			
Fixed charge charged from consumers with Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB/ PuCSL has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs CEB/ PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB/ PUCSL has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges CEB PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed CEB/ PUCSL and impacts are ignored CEB/ PUCSL has not followed and ceb might not recover all costs of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases CEB/ PUCSL has not followed and ceb more input from economists needed Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability	b)		Would be incorporated in a policy advice
Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge 8 CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient 9 Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs 10 CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair 10 CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect 10 EB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect 10 ED High and the costs recovered are incorrect 11 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed 2 Description of the recover and impacts are ignored 3 Description of the recover and impacts are input from input from input for the part of the process of the			
by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set a fair fixed charge 8	7	Fixed charge charged from consumers with	Addressed in the Decision as a special rate
8 CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient 9 Establish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs C) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed C) Other economic policies and impacts are incorred incorred prices are not made figures C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Mould be incorporated in a policy advice Would be incorporated in a policy advice Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies		Solar Roof tops have been increased unfairly	
Seed Shall be restructured to make it efficient Would be incorporated in a policy advice		by CEB (violating the Agreement) – need to set	
Stablish 24/7 electricity supply before increasing tariffs Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil) supply by the CPC		a fair fixed charge	
increasing tariffs CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 2Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Other economic policies and impacts are inspired CEB / Other economic policies and impacts are not making bodies CEB / Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to condel savailable with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to condel savailable with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures b) Other economic policies and impacts are input from the procedure are not of	8	CEB shall be restructured to make it efficient	Would be incorporated in a policy advice
increasing tariffs CEB/ PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs CEB hargy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed Energy Charges are input from ignored CEB / PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored Effects of price elasticity are ignored Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability	9	Establish 24/7 electricity supply before	Unfortunately, this is constrained by the fuel(oil)
Ceb / PUCSL has not done cost of service studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect fusel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect for recover all costs Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges are recovered though above cost energy charges. CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed Effects of price elasticity are ignored Cost of service models available with PUCSL was last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures Die to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability			
studies and thus not possible to establish whether the tariffs are fair last updated in 2013, however they are not reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID.	10		
whether the tariffs are fair reliable due to lack of accurate load profile data. Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. a) CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs Fixed charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Energy charges and impacts are ignored CEB / DUCSL has not followed the well-procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability	-0	-	
Action is taken to conduct such studies with the Assistance of USAID. a) CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect forecast costs for year 2022. b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs C) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Effects of price elasticity are ignored ignored CEB / Duck to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Assistance of USAID. They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability		whether the tarms are rail	,
a) CEB has not used the correct fuel prices and thus the costs recovered are incorrect forecast costs for year 2022. b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs C) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV 11 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability They are corrected by PUCSL in arriving at the forecast costs for year 2022. Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Figures D) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability			
thus the costs recovered are incorrect b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs C) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV 11 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored CD Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability	- \	CER have at a settle account for large and	
b) Fixed charges are too low and CEB might not recover all costs Due to undesirable impacts on the low consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed Beffects of price elasticity are ignored CHB / PUCSL has not followed the well-procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability	a)	·	
recover all costs consuming customer group, fixed charges are not made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this concern CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Effects of price elasticity are ignored Other economic policies and impacts are ignored C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Main reason is affordability Marineason is affordability Concern Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures Main reason is affordability			·
made fully cost reflective. However, these costs are recovered though above cost energy charges C) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures CONDETED TO THE METHOD PROCEDURE TO THE METHOD P	b)		·
are recovered though above cost energy charges C) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability		recover all costs	
C) Energy charges are too low for low end consumers and discourage Solar PV concern CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies C) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability			made fully cost reflective. However, these costs
consumers and discourage Solar PV CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures CONTROL TO THE INDICATE OF THE			are recovered though above cost energy charges
11 CEB / PUCSL has not followed the wellestablished procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs 12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the procedures are not fully followed, apart from that this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies Main reason is affordability	c)	Energy charges are too low for low end	Agreed, affordability concerns overweigh this
established procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored		consumers and discourage Solar PV	concern
established procedures, methodologies, etc. to revise tariffs Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored	11	CEB / PUCSL has not followed the well-	Due to delays from the part of CEB, some of the
this revision is highly influenced by extraordinary cost increases Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability			
cost increases Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability			'
12 Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
mathematical exercise, more input from economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability	12	Electricity Tariff setting is not a purely	3.0000
economists needed a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability		,	
a) Effects of price elasticity are ignored Agreed, studies are underway to find these figures b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability			
b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability	اد		Agreed studies are underway to find these
b) Other economic policies and impacts are ignored The impacts of other national policies are not quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability	a)	Linears of price elasticity are ignored	,
ignored quantified by the decision-making bodies c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability	1- 1	Oth an agencia nalisia conditional con	
c) Basis for cross-subsidies or subsidies are not Main reason is affordability	(a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			-
clear	c)		Main reason is affordability
		clear	

d)	An electricity tariff policy must be established	Agreed, will be incorporated in a policy advice	
13	Tariff structure is too complicated and need	Agreed – revised tariffs attempt to achieve this	
	simplification		
a)	There are too many categories and thus	All retail bulk and MV supply tariffs are	
	difficult to comprehend	amalgamated. Retail categories are remaining	
		due to affordability concerns.	
	Energy Conservation in terms of Section 4 (1)(d) of Sri Lanka Electricity Act, No. 20 of 2009		
14	Energy Conservation must be incentivized	Agreed	
a)	Fixed charges are too high and energy charges	Attempt is made to balance the two concerns;	
	are too low, and thus discourage energy	recovery of fixed costs and energy conservation	
	conservation		
15	Streetlights shall be funded through a special	Agreed, will be incorporated in a policy advice	
	tax collected at the Local Authorities		

Conditions

Considering the provisions in the Sri Lanka Electricity Act, Regulations and the Licenses issued to CEB, and the representations by many stakeholders on the lack of proof for reasonableness of the costs of CEB in terms of Section 30 (2)(b) of Sri Lanka Electricity Act, No. 20 of 2009, the Commission has decided to impose the following conditions to consider any future upward tariff revisions and Commission will impose financial penalties for non-implementation of the Conditions as stipulated in the table below.

Enabling Provision	Requirement	Completion Date
Item 2.4.2 of the Tariff Methodology	Establishment of Bulk Supply Transactions Account	30 th November 2022
Condition 30(11) of Transmission and Bulk Supply License	Independent Dispatch Audit for year 2021	28 th February 2023
Item 2.2.2 of the Tariff Methodology	Power Purchase Agreements (PPA) between CEB Generation plants and the Transmission Licensee	30 th November 2022
Condition 33 of the Transmission and Bulk Supply License	Power Sales Agreements (PSA) between Distribution Licensees and Transmission Licensee	30 th November 2022
Section 28 (3) of Sri Lanka Electricity Act, No. 20 of 2009	Payment of interest for the security deposits obtained from the consumers	1 st October 2022

Revised Tariff Structure

Considering the affordability concerns raised by the stakeholders and the reluctance to provide subsidies by the Government, tariffs were further revised (incorporating both subsidies, cross-subsidies and demand management features). The revised tariff structure is given in Annex 1, the key features of the revisions from the CEB proposal are listed below;

Domestic Category

- Considering their affordability concerns, 0-30 kWh and 31-60 kWh groups having 1.46 million and 1.68 million consumers respectively, are given a further concession from the fixed charge. Only part of their retails costs and capacity costs are recovered. This group represents 47% of the consumers in the Domestic Category.
- Part of the concession given to 0-60 kWh group have been recovered from the high consuming groups of the same category from the energy charge, with the objective to encourage energy conservation and distributed generation (Solar PV, etc) that improve energy security of the country.

General Purpose Category

- As their capacity consumption is less, the low consuming, GP-1 group is given a concession from the fixed charge, specially the below 300 kWh sub group whom are small businesses that consume 61 kWh per month on average (812,000 consumers). Their consumption band is decreased to 180 kWh per month to target the concession.
- Off-peak rate of the bulk consumers was reduced to facilitate demand management, while increasing the Day rate to cover the concessions given to low consuming groups in the category and the Off-peak rate.

Industry Category

- The low consuming, I-1 category is given a concession, specially the below 300kWh sub group whom are small cottage industries that consume 81 kWh per month on average (48,000 consumers) operating during day time.
- Day rate of the Bulk consumers were increased to recover the cross-subsidy within the category and part of the concession given to Domestic category. This also had the objective of encouraging energy conservation and distributed generation.

Government Category

- To encourage demand management and energy conservation a Time-Of-Use tariff similar to General Purpose category was introduced, by which educational institutions and offices are largely unaffected by the high Peak tariff charged for night time consumption.
- The category is merged with General Purpose category (which increases their tariffs), while benefiting from the concessions given to GP-1 (below 180 kWh) group.

Hotel Category

 Given the overall requirement to give 80% tariff increase, Hotels that are adversely affected by the issues in the country are allowed to merge with the General-Purpose category; whose overall increase was less.

Religious Category

• Overall tariff of the category was increased with the objective of encouraging energy conservation and distributed generation, while safeguarding the low consuming groups.

Overall, the categories are merged for bulk consumers; i.e Above 42 kVA low Voltage supply and medium Voltage supply. However, CEB and LECO are requested to keep the categorization for information purposes. The retail (below 42 kVA single phase and three phase) categories are reduced to five; Domestic, Religious, General Purpose, Industry and Street Lighting to facilitate the concessions in that sector.

Other Decisions

Fixed Charges Applicable for Consumers having Solar Roof Tops Under Net Metering, and Net Accounting Schemes

Considering the numerous complaints received and the representations received at the stakeholder consultation on electricity tariff revision 2022, the Commission decides to clarify the ambiguity in the Agreement for Solar Net Metering and Net Accounting prosumers. Thus, considering the collective capacity contribution by Solar PV systems during day time to the grid, the fixed charge for consumers with solar roof tops under Net Metering and Net Accounting schemes shall be charged the fixed charge applicable to their net imported energy. Thus, if they have a net export, the fixed charge will be the applicable fixed charge for zero consumption.

Payment of Electricity Bill in USD

Despite several objections raised against the proposed scheme to collect electricity bills in United States Dollars, from the Industry, Hotel and General-Purpose category consumers who earn more than 60% of their revenue in foreign currency would be implemented with effect from 1st September 2022, and thus those consumers will be required to pay their electricity bills in USD converted at the buying rate declared by the Central Bank of Sri Lanka for that date. The consumers who fall under this scheme shall be given a 1.5% discount on their bills.

Hotel Category Tariff

Considering the difficult business environment faced by the Hotel category consumers, the tariff increase for that category would be implemented in two stages; 50% of the increase to be effective from 10th August 2022 and the balance 50% on 10th November 2022.